Annex 2.3.7
The independent investigation into the research of Milena Penkowa

Form to be filled in by Panel for each paper analysed

At the Panel’s meeting 11 October 2011 it was decided that the Panel shall complete the form, indicated on next page, for each of the papers analysed – with assessments and requests for further information and material beyond the material that it was already agreed that the Secretariat shall acquire.

In connection with the Panel’s meeting 11-13 April 2012, an additional field was added to the assessment forms on those papers for which further documentation had been found, namely:

“F. Additional assessments, based on analysis of found material (stained sections etc.)”
**A. Do you suspect any scientific dishonesty in MP’s scientific contribution to these papers?**
If no, please explain why scientific dishonesty of MP is not suspected for this paper.
If yes:
- Please specify the scientific work (e.g. experiments, sample collection, analyses, results) and/or parts of the paper which may be suspected for scientific dishonesty.
- Please explain why this activity may be suspected for scientific dishonesty.

**B. Do you find need for requesting further information from any of the co-authors – for the Panel’s further examination and/or documentation of suspicion or rejection of scientific dishonesty?**
If yes, please write the name(s) of these co-author(s) and specify the information needed.

**C. Do you find need for procuring any scientific background material (e.g. histological samples, images, data and lab records) – for the Panel’s further examination and/or documentation of suspicion of scientific dishonesty?**
If yes, please specify which material should be required and perhaps how it should be examined by Panel.

**D. Do you find need for any other further examination and/or documentation of possible scientific dishonesty in any of MP’s scientific contributions to this paper?**
If yes, please specify the scientific work and/or parts of the paper that should be further examined and perhaps how it should be examined.

**E. Any other comments?**